

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/acha



Almost everywhere generalized phase retrieval



Meng Huang ^a, Yi Rong ^b, Yang Wang ^b, Zhiqiang Xu ^{a,c,*}

- ^a LSEC, Inst. Comp. Math., Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China
- ^b Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong
- ^c School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 October 2019 Received in revised form 22 June

2020 Accepted 25 August 2020 Available online 26 August 2020 Communicated by Radu Balan

MSC: primary 42C15 secondary 46C05, 94A15

Keywords: Frames Phase retrieval

ABSTRACT

The aim of generalized phase retrieval is to recover $x \in \mathbb{F}^d$ from the quadratic measurements x^*A_1x,\ldots,x^*A_Nx , where $A_j \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . In this paper, we study the matrix set $A=(A_j)_{j=1}^N$ which has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property. For the case $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$, we show that $N \geq d+1$ generic matrices with prescribed ranks have almost everywhere phase retrieval property. We also extend this result to the case where A_1,\ldots,A_N are orthogonal matrices and hence establish the almost everywhere phase retrieval property for the fusion frame phase retrieval. For the case where $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, we obtain similar results under the assumption of $N \geq 2d$. We lower the measurement number d+1 (resp. 2d) with showing that there exist N=d (resp. 2d-1) matrices $A_1,\ldots,A_N \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$) which have the almost everywhere phase retrieval property. Our results are an extension of almost everywhere phase retrieval from the standard phase retrieval to the general setting and the proofs are often based on some new ideas about determinant variety.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Problem setup

The classic phase retrieval problem, which concerns the reconstruction of a function from the magnitude of its Fourier transform, has many applications in various areas such as X-ray crystallography, super-resolution cryo-EM imaging, optics, signal processing and many more. It is well known that the map $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f, is an isometry in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence f can be uniquely reconstructed

 $^{^{*}}$ Yang Wang was supported in part by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council grant 16306415. Zhiqiang Xu was supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Z180002) and by NSFC grant (11688101).

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hm@lsec.cc.ac.cn (M. Huang), yrong@ust.hk (Y. Rong), yangwang@ust.hk (Y. Wang), xuzq@lsec.cc.ac.cn (Z. Xu).

from \hat{f} . However, when only the magnitude $|\hat{f}(\xi)|$ is known, the reconstruction becomes rather nontrivial. In many cases, the reconstruction is impossible because the solution is not unique, even after taking some obvious factors into consideration, such as translation and modulation.

More recently the phase retrieval problem has been naturally extended to finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, and research in this area has accounted for the bulk of the advances lately (see e.g. [1,3,5,6,8,13] and the references therein). We mainly focus on the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{F}^d where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and consider a set of Hermitian matrices (symmetric matrices if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$) $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ in $\mathbb{F}^{d \times d}$. We say \mathcal{A} has the (generalized) phase retrieval property, or is phase retrievable, if $(\mathbf{x}^*A_j\mathbf{x})_{j=1}^N$ uniquely determines $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^d$ up to a unimodular constant. In other words, $\mathbf{x}^*A_j\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^*A_j\mathbf{y}, j = 1, \ldots, N$ if and only if $\mathbf{y} = c\mathbf{x}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}$ and |c| = 1. Generalized phase retrieval was studied in [18] by Wang and Xu, and it includes the standard phase retrieval and various spinoffs as special cases. If all A_j have the form $A_j = \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{f}_j^*$ where $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathbb{F}^d$, then it is the standard phase retrieval. If all A_j are orthogonal projections, namely $A_j^2 = A_j$, then it becomes the fusion frame (projection) phase retrieval. Moreover, if all A_j are positive semi-definite matrices satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^N A_j = \mathbb{I}$ where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix, then it recasts as the POVM which is an active research topic in quantum tomography (see e.g. [13]).

We shall use $\mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{F})$ to denote the set of Hermitian matrices in $\mathbb{F}^{d \times d}$. Just like the standard phase retrieval problem we consider the equivalence relation \sim on \mathbb{F}^d : $\mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathbf{x}_2$ if there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{F}$ with |c| = 1 such that $\mathbf{x}_1 = c\mathbf{x}_2$. Let $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d := \mathbb{F}^d/\sim$. We shall use $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ to denote the equivalent class containing \mathbf{x} . For any given $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$, define the map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}} : \underline{\mathbb{F}}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x}) = (x^* A_1 x, \dots, x^* A_N x)^T. \tag{1.1}$$

Thus, the generalized phase retrieval problem asks whether a $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$ is uniquely determined by $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x})$, i.e. whether $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is injective on $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$. We should observe that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ can also be viewed as a map from \mathbb{F}^d to \mathbb{R}^N , and we shall often do this when there is no confusion.

For practical applications it is always enough to design algorithms which can recover almost all the signals [8,16]. This gives rise to the question of almost everywhere phase retrieval. We next introduce the definition of almost everywhere phase retrieval property.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$. We say \mathcal{A} has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property or is phase retrievable almost everywhere (PR-ae) if for almost every $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$ we have $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x})) = \{\underline{x}\}$ where $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x})) := \{\underline{y} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}^d : \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{y}) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x})\}.$

This paper studies the following question:

• What is the minimal N for which there exists an $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbb{F}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$ having the almost everywhere phase retrieval property? Moreover, under what conditions does $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ have the almost everywhere phase retrieval property?

The aim of this paper is to present a series of results addressing these questions.

1.2. Related work

In the standard phase retrieval setting where $A_j = f_j f_j^*$, some answers have been provided in several studies [8,1,10,17]. For the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $A_j = f_j f_j^*$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, it was shown that $N \geq d+1$ is necessary and sufficient for there existing $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ which is almost everywhere phase retrievable (see [1,8]). Similarly, for the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $A_j = f_j f_j^*$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, it is known that 2d generic measurements are sufficient for almost everywhere phase retrieval [1]. In the context of quantum tomography, one is interested

in the pure-state information complete (PSI-complete) POVM which requires POVM to determine almost all the pure state (up to a global phase) (see [10,11]). In fact, PSI-complete POVM is a special case of PR-ae in which all $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are positive semi-definite matrices satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^N A_j = \mathbb{I}$ where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix. The results in [10] show that there exist N=2d positive semi-definite matrices $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{2d} \subset \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{2d} A_j = \mathbb{I}$ which have almost everywhere phase retrieval property. In [11] the same result is proved with the additional requirement of rank $(A_j) = 1$ for all j.

In the generalized phase retrieval setting, these questions become significantly harder. For example, even in the case of fusion frames (projection) phase retrieval, the answers to these questions are far from being known [9].

We would like to mention that the almost everywhere matrix recovery is studied in [20]. One main result of [20] is that $N > (p+q)r - r^2$ generic linear measurements have the almost everywhere rank-r matrix recovery property in $\mathbb{R}^{p\times q}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{p\times q}$. In [15], Kech and Krahmer also study the optimal injectivity conditions for bilinear inverse problems.

1.3. Our contribution

In this paper we establish a general framework for the almost everywhere phase retrieval. We prove the results for generic and random measurements under very general settings.

For generalized phase retrieval in the real case, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $N \geq d+1$. Then a random $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ in $\mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{R})$ chosen under any absolutely continuous distribution have the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{R}^d with probability one. More generally, let $1 \leq r_1, \ldots, r_N \leq d$ and $V_j \subset \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{R})$ be either the set of all rank r_j symmetric matrices or the set of all rank r_j orthogonal projection matrices. Then a generic $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{R}^d .

For generalized phase retrieval in the complex case, we have a similar theorem:

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $N \geq 2d$. Then a random $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ in $\mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{C})$ chosen under any absolutely continuous distribution has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{C}^d with probability one. More generally, let $1 \leq r_1, \ldots, r_N \leq d$ and $V_j \subset \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$ be either the set of all rank r_j Hermitian matrices or the set of all rank r_j orthogonal projection matrices. Then a generic $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{C}^d .

Again we should point out that the above results holds for far broader classes of V_j and we provide techniques for establishing PR-ae property in more general cases later in this paper.

One interesting question is whether those bounds are sharp. For almost everywhere generalized phase retrieval, there is a lower bound $N \geq d$ for \mathbb{R}^d and $N \geq 2d-1$ for \mathbb{C}^d (see Corollary 2.2 in Section 2). One naturally asks whether PR-ae property can be attained for N=d and N=2d-1 in the real and complex cases, respectively. In the real case, under the standard phase retrieval assumption where all measurement matrices have rank one, this is impossible with $N \geq d+1$ being sharp. However, it is possible if the rank one property is removed. Particularly, we have the following results:

Theorem 1.3. There exist d matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_d \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^d$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 1.4. There exist 2d-1 matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_{2d-1} \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^{2d-1}$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{C}^d .

We would like to mention that it is possible to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 using the methods developed in [20]. In this paper, we present a novel method for proving them. We believe that the method developed in this paper is independent interesting and it is useful and powerful for the phase retrieval. For example, motivated by the methods developed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we can obtain that Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. To our knowledge, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are not easy to be derived from the results in [20].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after introducing some notations, some of which have been used in previous studies, we also present some preliminary results on generalized almost everywhere phase retrieval, including the necessary lower bounds $N \geq d$ and $N \geq 2d-1$ for PR-ae property in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{C}^d , respectively.

In Section 3 we explore the links between phase retrieval and the classic algebraic geometry. We recall some of the background results on the dimension of intersections of varieties, from which we tie the almost everywhere matrix recovery and phase retrieval properties to the dimension of certain varieties. These results are then used in Section 4 to prove the results listed above. In Section 5, we return to the standard phase retrieval with presenting some additional results under this setting, which may be independent interesting. Particularly, we present the sufficient and necessary condition for $\{f_1, \ldots, f_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ having PR-ae property in \mathbb{R}^d .

2. Preliminary results on almost everywhere phase retrieval

In this section, we establish some preliminary results on almost everywhere phase retrieval. These results will play an important role for the further study of this topic. We begin from introducing a few results and notations.

2.1. Previous results and notations

For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ let $\Re(c)$ and $\Im(c)$ denote the real and imaginary part of c, respectively. A useful formula is that for a Hermitian $A \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{F})$ and any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^d$ we must have

$$\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^* A \mathbf{y} = 4\Re(\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{u}) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. This is straightforward to check. In the real case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ it means that $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^* A \mathbf{y} = 4 \mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{u}$. In [18] a series of equivalent formulations for the generalized phase retrieval have been stated. For the real case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$, the following conclusions are equivalent (see [18]):

- (1) \mathcal{A} has the phase retrieval property.
- (2) There exist no nonzero $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $v^*A_ju = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le N$.
- (3) For any nonzero $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have span $\{A_j \mathbf{u}\}_{j=1}^N = \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (4) The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has rank d everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

For the complex case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$, the following are equivalent (see [18]):

- (1) \mathcal{A} has the phase retrieval property.
- (2) There exist no $v, u \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C}^d with $u \neq icv$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Re(v^*A_ju) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$.
- (3) The (real) Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has (real) rank 2d-1 everywhere on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

It is also shown in [18] that the set of phase retrievable \mathcal{A} in $\mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$ is an open set, so it is stable under small perturbations.

Next, we introduce some notations which examine the set of points in $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ at which $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is injective. We shall treat $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ as a *real* manifold, which has dimension d if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and dimension 2d-1 if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ the Jacobian of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ at \boldsymbol{x} is exactly

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 2[A_1\boldsymbol{x}, A_2\boldsymbol{x}, \dots, A_N\boldsymbol{x}].$$

For the case where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, write $A_j = B_j + iC_j$ where B_j, C_j are real. Then $B_j^{\top} = B_j$ and $C_j^{\top} = -C_j$. Let

$$F_j = \begin{bmatrix} B_j & -C_j \\ C_j & B_j \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any $\boldsymbol{x} = \Re(\boldsymbol{x}) + i\Im(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ we set $\boldsymbol{u}^\top := [\Re(\boldsymbol{x})^\top, \Im(\boldsymbol{x})^\top]$. Thus, the real Jacobian of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is precisely

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 2[F_1\boldsymbol{u}, F_2\boldsymbol{u}, \dots, F_N\boldsymbol{u}]. \tag{2.3}$$

A point $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ is called a *regular point* if the real Jacobian of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ at \underline{x} has full rank, i.e. it has rank d if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and rank 2d-1 if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Otherwise \underline{x} is called a *degenerate point*. It is well known that the set of all degenerate points for $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed set in \mathbb{F}^d .

2.2. Preliminary results

Theorem 2.1. For $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$, let Ω_A be the set of points in $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$ at which \mathbf{M}_A is not injective. Let Z_A be the set of degenerative points for \mathbf{M}_A in $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$. Then the following hold:

- (A) $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ is either $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ or a null set. Furthermore if $Z_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ then $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d} \setminus \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a null set and hence \mathcal{A} is not PR-ae.
- (B) Let $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the closure of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup Z_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$. If all A_j are positive semidefinite then $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup Z_{\mathcal{A}} = \overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. (A) We shall prove the results for the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. The real case is virtually identical. Firstly, using the standard technique we identify the set of element $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ with $x_1 \neq 0$ as $V_1 := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{2d-2}$. Now V_1 is almost all $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ and its closure is $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$. Restricted to V_1 the Jacobian matrix of \mathbf{M}_A consists of entries that are linear functions (see (2.3)). A point is degenerate if and only if all $(2d-1) \times (2d-1)$ submatrices of the Jacobian matrix at that point have determinants 0. Note that each determinant is a polynomial. Thus, the set of degenerate points in V_1 is the intersection of real algebraic varieties in \mathbb{R}^{2d-1} restricted to $V_1 = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{2d-2}$. It follows that the set is either all V_1 , or a null set with local dimension less than 2d-1. Hence, Z_A is either $\overline{V_1} = \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ or a null set.

Assume that $Z_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$. Then the Jacobian of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has maximal rank strictly less than 2d-1 at any point. Let Γ be the set of points in $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ at which the Jacobian of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the maximal rank, say r. Then Γ is an open set. Furthermore, the complement set of Γ are precisely the points at which all $(r-1) \times (r-1)$ submatrices have zero determinant. Thus, Γ^c is a null set by the same argument as before. The Rank Theorem now implies that the map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not injective at a neighborhood of any point in Γ . Thus, $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d} \setminus \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a null set, i.e. almost all points in $\underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ are not injective for $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is not PR-ae.

(B) Again we only need to consider the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. The real case is virtually identical. We first prove that $Z_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$. For any $\underline{x} \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ the rank of the (real) Jacobian $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is at most 2d-2 at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^d$. Thus, there exists a $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^d$ such that $\mathbf{v} \neq ic\mathbf{x}$ and $\Re(\mathbf{v}^*J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})) = \Re(\mathbf{v}^*A_j\mathbf{x}) = 0$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $t_k = 1/k$ and set $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x} + t_k\mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{x} - t_k\mathbf{v}$. Then $\mathbf{x}_k \neq \mathbf{y}_k$ in $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$. Combining $\Re(\mathbf{v}^*A_j\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and (2.1) we obtain $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}_k) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{\mathbf{y}}_k)$, which implies that $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_k, \underline{\mathbf{y}}_k \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$. Clearly $\lim_k \underline{\mathbf{x}}_k = \underline{\mathbf{x}}$, and thus, $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now assume that all A_j are positive semi-definite matrices. We first prove $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup Z_{\mathcal{A}}$. For each $\delta > 0$ define E_{δ} to be the set of all $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ such that there exists a $\underline{y} \in \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ with $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{y}) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{x})$ and

$$\underline{D}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) := \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}, |\alpha| = 1} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \alpha \boldsymbol{y}\| \geq \delta.$$

The definition of E_{δ} implies that it is a closed set in $\underline{\mathbb{F}}^d$. Choose a positive sequence $\delta_k \downarrow 0$. Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_k E_{\delta_k}$.

Let \underline{x}_k be a sequence in Ω_A with $\underline{x}_k \longrightarrow \underline{x}$. We need to show $\underline{x} \in \Omega_A \cup Z_A$. If $\underline{x} = 0$ we arrive at the conclusion since $0 \in Z_A$. The conclusion also holds if $\underline{x} \in \Omega_A$. It remains to consider the case where $\underline{x} \notin \{0\} \cup \Omega_A$. Because each E_{δ_k} is closed, without loss of generality, we assume that $\underline{x}_k \in E_{\delta_k}$. Let $\underline{y}_k \in \mathbf{M}_A^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_A(\underline{x}_k))$ such that $\underline{D}(\underline{x}_k,\underline{y}_k) \geq \delta_k$. We first consider the case where $\{\underline{y}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded sequence. Then there exist an accumulation point \underline{y} and a subsequence where we still denote it by $\{\underline{y}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\underline{y}_k \longrightarrow \underline{y}$. Clearly $\underline{y} \in \mathbf{M}_A^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_A(\underline{x}))$. Recall the assumption $\underline{x} \notin \{0\} \cup \Omega_A$, which implies that $\underline{y} = \underline{x}$. Thus, any small neighborhood of \underline{x} contains \underline{x}_k and \underline{y}_k for sufficiently large k, which means \mathbf{M}_A is not one to one locally at \underline{x} . However, a smooth map must be locally one to one at a regular point. It means that \underline{x} is not a regular point of \mathbf{M}_A , i.e. $\underline{x} \in Z_A$.

We still need to consider the case where $\{\boldsymbol{y}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is unbounded. Set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ \eta \in \mathbb{F}^d : \eta^* A_j \eta = 0, j = 1, \dots, N \}.$$

Since A_1, \ldots, A_N are positive semi-definite, $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ is a linear space. We take $\boldsymbol{y}_k = \boldsymbol{y}_k' \bigoplus \eta_k$ where $\eta_k \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $\{\boldsymbol{y}_k'\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ must be a bounded sequence (otherwise, $\mathbf{M}_A(\boldsymbol{y}_k') = \mathbf{M}_A(\boldsymbol{y}_k)$ is a unbounded sequence). Note that $\mathbf{M}_A(\boldsymbol{y}_k') = \mathbf{M}_A(\boldsymbol{y}_k)$. Then we can replace $\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_k$ by $\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_k'$ in the argument above and obtain that $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}} \in Z_A$. \square

Corollary 2.2. Assume that $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$ is PR-ae on \mathbb{F}^d . Then $N \geq d$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 2d-1$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. According to the definition of degenerative points, $Z_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\mathbb{F}^d}$ if N < d for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and N < 2d - 1 for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. According to the (A) of Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ is not PR-ae on \mathbb{F}^d . We arrive at the conclusion. \square

We introduce the following lemma which plays an important role in this paper.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{F})$ where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . The following are equivalent:

- (i) A is not PR-ae.
- (ii) Let \mathcal{U} be the set of $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{F}^d \times \mathbb{F}^d$ with $\mathbf{u} \neq ic\mathbf{v}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Re(\boldsymbol{v}^*A_j\boldsymbol{u})=0$$
 for all $1\leq j\leq N$.

The set $E = \{ \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} : \ (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{v} \neq 0 \}$ has positive Lebesgue outer measure in \mathbb{F}^d .

Proof. To see (ii) \Rightarrow (i), for any $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} \in E$ with $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathcal{U}$, set $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}$. Then according to (2.1), one has $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{y})$. Since $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathcal{U}$, it implies that $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \alpha \boldsymbol{y}$ for any $|\alpha| = 1$. Note that E has positive measure, which gives that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not injective in a set with positive Lebesgue outer measure and hence \mathcal{A} is not PR-ae. The converse (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from the similar argument. \square

Remark 2.4. For the case where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the (ii) in Lemma 2.3 is reduced to the following statement: Suppose that \mathcal{U} is the set of $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\boldsymbol{u} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{v} \neq 0$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{\top} A_{j} \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$
 for all $1 \leq j \leq N$.

The set $E = \{ u + v : (u, v) \in \mathcal{U} \}$ has positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d .

3. Almost everywhere phase retrieval and the dimension of algebraic variety

The phase retrieval problem has a well known formulation in terms of low rank matrices [4,5,19]. Particularly, for any $A \in \mathbb{F}^{d \times d}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{F}^d$, it holds that $\boldsymbol{y}^\top A \boldsymbol{x} = \operatorname{tr}(AQ)$ where $Q = \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}^\top$. This relationship transforms phase retrieval into a recovering of a rank-one matrix. In this section, we extend this relationship further (see Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.6). Before proceeding to these results, we first introduce some basic notations related to projective spaces and varieties.

3.1. Background from algebraic geometry

For any complex vector space X we shall use $\mathbb{P}(X)$ to denote the induced projective space, i.e. the set of all one dimensional subspaces in X. As usual for each $x \in X$ we use [x] to denote the induced elements in $\mathbb{P}(X)$. Similarly, for any subset $S \subset X$ we use [S] or $\mathbb{P}(S)$ to denote its projectivization in $\mathbb{P}(X)$. Throughout this paper, we say $V \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is a projective variety if V is the locus of a collection of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Strictly speaking a projective variety lies in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and is the projectivization of the zero locus of a collection of homogeneous polynomials. But like in [18], when there is no confusion the phrase projective variety in \mathbb{C}^d means an algebraic variety in \mathbb{C}^d defined by homogeneous polynomials. We shall use projective variety in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ to describe a true projective variety. Note that sometimes it is useful to consider the more general quasi-projective varieties. A set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is a quasi-projective variety if there exist two projective varieties V and V with $V \subset V$ such that $V \in V$

We shall use $V \cap \mathbb{R}^d$ to denote the real points of V. A key fact is that for a variety V we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V \cap \mathbb{R}^d) \leq \dim(V)$ (see Section 2.1.3 in [7] and [18]). This also holds for a quasi-projective variety since the proof uses only local properties of V (see [18]). The definitions of $\dim(V)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V \cap \mathbb{R}^d)$ are introduced in [12] and [2], respectively (see also [7]).

In this paper we shall often focus on studying the set

$$\mathcal{M}_{d,r}(\mathbb{F}) := \left\{ Q \in \mathbb{F}^{d \times d} : \operatorname{rank}(Q) \le r \right\}, \qquad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{R}.$$
(3.1)

Note that $\operatorname{rank}(Q) \leq r$ is equivalent to the vanishing of all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of Q. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{d,r}(\mathbb{F})$ is a well-defined projective variety in $\mathbb{F}^{d \times d}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{M}_{d,r}(\mathbb{F})) = 2dr - r^2$ [12, Prop. 12.2]. More generally, for the matrix recovery problem we will consider non-square p by q matrices of rank r:

$$\mathcal{M}_{p \times q, r}(\mathbb{F}) := \left\{ Q \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times q} : \operatorname{rank}(Q) \le r \right\}, \qquad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{R}.$$
(3.2)

Again, $\mathcal{M}_{p\times q,r}(\mathbb{F})$ is a projective variety and it is well known that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{M}_{p\times q,r}(\mathbb{F}) = r(p+q) - r^2$.

In [18] the notion of an admissible algebraic variety with respect to a family of linear functions was introduced. This concept is equally useful in this paper.

Definition 3.1 ([18]). Let V be the zero locus of a finite collection of homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{C}^M with $\dim V > 0$ and $\{\ell_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of (homogeneous) linear functions in \mathbb{C}^M where I is an index set. We say V is admissible with respect to $\{\ell_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ if $\dim(V \cap \{x \in \mathbb{C}^M : \ell_\alpha(x) = 0\}) < \dim V$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

It is well known in algebraic geometry that if V is irreducible in \mathbb{C}^M then $\dim(V \cap Y) = \dim(V) - 1$ for any hyperplane Y that does not contain V. Thus, the above admissible condition is equivalent to the property that no irreducible component of V of dimension $\dim(V)$ is contained in any hyperplane $\ell_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$. In general without the irreducibility condition, admissibility is equivalent to that for a generic point $\boldsymbol{x} \in V$, any small neighborhood U of \boldsymbol{x} has the property that $U \cap V$ is not completely contained in any hyperplane $\ell_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$.

Many projective varieties have the required admissibility property. We just list a few of them below:

Proposition 3.1 ([20, Proposition 4.1]). Let V be one of the following projective varieties in $\mathbb{C}^{q \times p}$. Then V is admissible with respect to the maps $\{\phi_Q(\cdot) = \operatorname{tr}(\cdot Q) : Q \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q,r}(\mathbb{C})\}$, where $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}\min(p,q)$:

- (A) $V = \mathcal{M}_{q \times p,s}(\mathbb{C})$, where $1 \le s \le \min(p,q)$.
- (B) $q \ge p$ and V is the set of all scalar multiples of matrices P whose rows are complex orthonormal in the sense that any two rows \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} of P have $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{\top} = \delta(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})$.
- (C) $q \leq p$ and V is the set of all scalar multiples of matrices whose columns are complex orthonormal in the sense that any two columns \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} of P have $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{y} = \delta(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})$.
- (D) q = p = d and V is the set of all scalar multiples of $d \times d$ rank s complex orthogonal projection matrices in the sense that $P = P^*$ and $P^2 = P$.

The following proposition is from [20]:

Theorem 3.2 ([20, Theorem 2.1]). For j = 1, ..., N let $L_j : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ be bilinear functions and V_j be projective varieties in \mathbb{C}^n . Set $V := V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n)^N$. Let $W, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a projective variety in \mathbb{C}^m , $W \setminus Y$ be a quasi-projective variety. For each fixed j, assume that V_j is admissible with respect to the linear functions $\{f^{\boldsymbol{w}}(\cdot) = L_j(\cdot, \boldsymbol{w}) : \boldsymbol{w} \in W \setminus Y\}$.

(1) Assume that $N \ge \dim W$. There exists an algebraic subvariety $Z \subseteq V$ with $\dim(Z) < \dim(V)$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{v}_j)_{j=1}^N \in V \setminus Z$, the subvariety $X_{\mathbf{x}}$ given by

$$X_{\boldsymbol{x}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{w} \in W \setminus Y : L_j(\boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{w}) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N \right\}$$

is the empty set.

(2) Assume that $N < \dim W$. There exists an algebraic subvariety $Z \subset V$ with $\dim Z < \dim V$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{v}_j)_{j=1}^N \in V \setminus Z$, the subvariety $X_{\mathbf{x}}$ given by

$$X_{\boldsymbol{x}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{w} \in W \setminus Y : \ L_j(\boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{w}) = 0 \ for \ all \ 1 \leq j \leq N \right\}$$

 $has \dim X_{x} = \dim W - N.$

3.2. Almost everywhere phase retrieval: real case

In this subsection, we consider the almost everywhere phase retrieval for the case where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{R})$. Let $X_A \subset \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ be given by

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ Q \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} : \operatorname{rank}(Q) \le 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(A_j Q) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le N \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

If the (complex) variety $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ has dimension $\dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq d-2$, then \mathcal{A} has the PR-ae property in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. Because $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the zero locus of some homogeneous polynomials, we can view it naturally as a projective variety with $\dim(\mathbb{P}(X_{\mathcal{A}})) = \dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) - 1 \leq d - 3$. Consider the map $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d \times d})$ given by

$$\Phi([\boldsymbol{x}],[\boldsymbol{y}]) = [\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}^{\top}].$$

It is easy to see that Φ is injective, and furthermore it is a one-to-one mapping of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ onto the set of rank one matrices in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d \times d})$. Note that the projective variety $[X_{\mathcal{A}}] = \mathbb{P}(X_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d \times d})$ has dimension $\dim([X_{\mathcal{A}}]) = \dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) - 1 \leq d - 3$. Hence, the dimension of the projective variety $\Phi^{-1}([X_{\mathcal{A}}])$ has dimension at most d - 3. Let

$$Y_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ (oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{C}^d imes \mathbb{C}^d : \ \Phi([oldsymbol{x}], [oldsymbol{y}]) \in [X_{\mathcal{A}}]
ight\}$$

It follows that $\dim(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) = \dim([X_{\mathcal{A}}]) + 2 \le d - 1$.

Noting $\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A_{j}\boldsymbol{y}=\operatorname{tr}(A_{j}\boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{x}^{\top})$, we have $\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A_{j}\boldsymbol{y}=0$ provided $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\in Y_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d}$. According to Lemma 2.3, to this end, it is enough to show that $\{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}:\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in Y_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d}\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Note that the real slice of a complex algebraic variety is a real algebraic variety whose real dimension is no more than the dimension of the complex variety (see [18, Lemma 3.1]). Thus, the real slice of $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ has real dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d})\leq \dim Y_{\mathcal{A}}\leq d-1$. Let $\tau:\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^{d}$ be given by $\tau(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\tau(Y_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d}))\leq d-1$ and thus,

$$au(Y_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y} : \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in Y_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \}$$

has zero Lebesgue measure. By Lemma 2.3, \mathcal{A} must have the PR-ae property. \Box

3.3. Almost everywhere phase retrieval: complex case

We now turn our attention to the complex setting $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. First we establish a couple of auxiliary results.

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^d$ are linearly independent. Then xx^*, yy^*, xy^*, yx^* are linearly independent in $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$.

Proof. Since x, y are linearly independent, there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $x^*v = 1$ and $y^*v = 0$. Assume that

$$c_1 x x^* + c_2 y y^* + c_3 x y^* + c_4 y x^* = 0 (3.4)$$

where $c_1, \ldots, c_4 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then multiplying v on the both sides of (3.4) gives $c_1 = c_4 = 0$. Similarly, we can show $c_2 = c_3 = 0$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^d$ be linearly independent. Assume that $zz^* - ww^* = \lambda xx^* - \mu yy^*$ where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ are not all zero. Then $z, w \in \text{span}\{x, y\}$. Furthermore, if $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and

$$z = ax + by$$
, $w = sx + ty$, where $a, b, s, t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $zz^* - ww^* = \lambda^2 xx^* - \mu^2 yy^*$ if and only if there exist $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\omega_j| = 1$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$ such that

$$z = \frac{\omega_1 \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} x + \frac{\omega_2 \beta \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} y, \quad w = \frac{\omega_3 \beta \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} x + \frac{\bar{\omega}_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} y.$$
(3.5)

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume z and w are nonzero vectors since it is trivial if z or w is zero. We first consider the case where z, w are linearly dependent, that is, $z = \gamma w$ for some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $zz^* - ww^* = \lambda xx^* - \mu yy^*$ gives $(|\gamma|^2 - 1)ww^* = \lambda xx^* - \mu yy^*$. Due to the independence of vectors x and y, it is obvious that $|\gamma| \neq 1$. Multiplying w on both sides of this equation, we obtain z, $w \in \text{span}\{x, y\}$. Next, if z, w are linearly independent, then there exists a vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $w^*u = 0$ but $z^*u \neq 0$. Multiplying w on both sides of the equation $zz^* - ww^* = \lambda xx^* - \mu yy^*$ gives $z \in \text{span}\{x, y\}$. Similar argument gives $w \in \text{span}\{x, y\}$.

Finally, if $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\boldsymbol{z} = a\boldsymbol{x} + b\boldsymbol{y}$ and $\boldsymbol{w} = s\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{y}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\lambda^2 \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* - \mu^2 \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^* = \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{z}^* - \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{w}^*$ if and only if $|a|^2 - |s|^2 = \lambda^2$, $|t|^2 - |b|^2 = \mu^2$ and $a\bar{b} - s\bar{t} = 0$. Since λ or μ is nonzero, say $\lambda > 0$. Set $\beta = |s|/|a|$, then $\beta \in [0, 1)$. A simple calculation shows

$$a = \frac{\omega_1 \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}, \ b = \frac{\omega_2 \beta \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}, \ s = \frac{\omega_3 \beta \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}, \ t = \frac{\omega_4 \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$$

for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\omega_j| = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. The $a\bar{b} - s\bar{t} = 0$ implies that $\omega_4 = \bar{\omega}_1 \omega_2 \omega_3$. The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 3.6. Assume that $A = (A_j)_{i=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let $X_A \subset \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ be given by

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{tr}(A_j Q) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le N \right\}.$$
(3.6)

If the (complex) variety has dimension $\dim(X_A) \leq 2d-4$, then A has the PR-ae property in \mathbb{C}^d .

Proof. Let

$$F = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d : \ \underline{\boldsymbol{x}} \neq \underline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \ \boldsymbol{x}^* A_j \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y}^* A_j \boldsymbol{y} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} has the PR-ae property if and only if $\pi_1(F)$ has zero Lebesgue outer measure, where $\pi_1((\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})) = \boldsymbol{x}$. Let

$$Y_{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}^* \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}^* \boldsymbol{y} : (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in F \right\}.$$

Then we have $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \subset X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$ since $\mathbf{x}^* A_j \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^* A_j \mathbf{y} = \operatorname{tr}(A_j (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^*))$.

We identify \mathbb{C}^M with $\mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^M$ and $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. By doing so we next show that $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$ has real dimension at most 2d-4 provided $\dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq 2d-4$. Consider the linear map φ on $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ given by

$$\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T) + \frac{i}{2}(A - A^T).$$

It is easy to check that φ is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ with inverse map $\varphi^{-1}(B)=\frac{1}{2}(B+B^T)-\frac{i}{2}(B-B^T)$. Hence, $\varphi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a variety with the same dimension as $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. It gives that the real slice $\varphi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}})\cap\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ must have real dimension no more than 2d-4, i.e., $\dim(\varphi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}}))\leq 2d-4$. Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}})\cap\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\varphi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})) \le 2d-4.$$

Note that $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$ is precisely $\mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$. Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})) \leq 2d - 4.$$

Recall the definition of the equivalence relation \sim on \mathbb{C}^d where $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \boldsymbol{y}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{x} = c\boldsymbol{y}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and |c| = 1. For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the equivalent class containing \boldsymbol{x} is denoted by $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}$. Consider the subset Λ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^d \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}^d \times \mathbb{R}^2_+$ given by

$$\Lambda = \Big\{ (\underline{\boldsymbol{x}},\underline{\boldsymbol{y}},\lambda,\mu): \ \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = \|\boldsymbol{y}\| = 1, \boldsymbol{x}^*\boldsymbol{y} = 0, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0 \Big\}.$$

Define the map Ψ on Λ by

$$\Psi(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda, \mu) = \lambda^2 \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* - \mu^2 \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^*.$$

We claim that Ψ is injective. To see this we first observe that $\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{x}_1\boldsymbol{x}_1^*$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \boldsymbol{x}_1$. Assume that $\Psi(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda, \mu) = \Psi(\underline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \underline{\boldsymbol{w}}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu})$. Then

$$\lambda^2 \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* - \mu^2 \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^* = \hat{\lambda}^2 \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{z}^* - \hat{\mu}^2 \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{w}^*.$$

Note that the eigenvectors of $\lambda^2 \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^* - \mu^2 \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^*$ are $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ with corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda^2, -\mu^2$, respectively. We must have $\boldsymbol{z} = c_1 \boldsymbol{x}, \ \boldsymbol{w} = c_2 \boldsymbol{y}$ with $|c_1| = |c_2| = 1$ and $\lambda^2 = \hat{\lambda}^2, \ \mu^2 = \hat{\mu}^2$. Hence, $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}, \ \mu = \hat{\mu}$ and $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}} = \underline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \ \boldsymbol{y} = \underline{\boldsymbol{w}}$.

Next we claim that the range of Ψ contains $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(\Lambda)$. Indeed, for every $B \in Y_{\mathcal{A}}$, it can be decomposed into $B = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \boldsymbol{v}_j \boldsymbol{v}_j^*$ where r is the rank of B and $\{\boldsymbol{v}_j\}_{j=1}^r$ are orthonormal. Since the rank of B is at most 2 and the top two eigenvalues of B cannot be both positive or negative, we can write B in the form of $B = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{v}_1 \boldsymbol{v}_1^* + \lambda_2 \boldsymbol{v}_2 \boldsymbol{v}_2^*$ with $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \leq 0$. So $B = \Psi(\underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_1, \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}_2, \lambda_1, -\lambda_2)$ which implies that $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Psi(\Lambda)$.

Now define $\Gamma = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : |\omega_1| = |\omega_2| = 1\}$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma = 3$. Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Psi^{-1}(Y_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \Gamma) \le 2d - 4 + 3 = 2d - 1.$$

We shall identify each element $\underline{x} \in \underline{\mathbb{C}}^d$ with the unique element \hat{x} in the equivalent class \underline{x} with the property that the first nonzero entry of \hat{x} is real and positive. Define the map $\pi : \Psi^{-1}(Y_A) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ by

$$\pi\Big((\underline{\boldsymbol{x}},\underline{\boldsymbol{y}},\lambda,\mu),(\omega_1,\omega_2,\beta)\Big) = \frac{\lambda\omega_1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{\omega_2\beta\mu}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 the set $\pi(\Psi^{-1}(Y_A) \times \Gamma) = \pi_1(F)$. However, the real dimension of $\pi(\Psi^{-1}(Y_A) \times \Gamma)$ is bounded from above by the real dimension of $\Psi^{-1}(Y_A) \times \Gamma$, which is at most 2d-1. Hence, $\pi_1(F)$ cannot have positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^d . This means \mathcal{A} has the PR-ae property. \square

4. Proofs of main results

In this section we apply the results from the previous sections to obtain more concrete results for phase retrieval. Particularly, we present the proofs of Theorems stated in Section 1.

We first consider the almost everywhere phase retrieval in the real case. Theorem 1.1 says that $N \ge d+1$ generic matrices have almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{R}^d . We now present the proof of it.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First we consider the case of rank r_j symmetric matrices. We slightly abuse the notation by extending V_j to be the set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ with rank no more than r_j . For any $A,Q\in\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ define $L(A,Q):=\operatorname{tr}(AQ)$. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}((V_j)_{\mathbb{R}})=\dim(V_j)$. Thus, according to Theorem 4.1 in [18], V_j is admissible with respect to the linear functions $\{f^Q(A)=L(A,Q):Q\in\mathcal{M}_{d,1}(\mathbb{C})\}$. This implies,

through Theorem 3.2, that a generic real $A \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N$ has dimension $\dim(X_A) = 2d - 1 - N \le d - 2$, where

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,1}(\mathbb{C}) : L(A_j, Q) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le N \right\}.$$

Thus, a generic real A has the almost everywhere PR property by Theorem 3.3.

For the case of orthogonal projection matrices, let U_j be the set of all scalar multiples of complex orthogonal projection matrices in the sense rank $(P) = r_j$, $P = P^{\top}$ and $P^2 = P$. Applying the exact same arguments as before, we can prove the theorem for real orthogonal projections.

Finally, the random case is a direct corollary of the first case with all $r_j = d$. \square

To guarantee $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ having PR-ae property, Theorem 1.1 requires that $N \geq d+1$. An interesting question is whether it is possible to lower N to d. Theorem 1.3 shows it is possible. We next prove that theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that the (j,k) elements of $A_t \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{R})$ are 1 if j+k=t+1 and other elements are 0, i.e.,

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, A_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d), \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\mathbf{v}^T A_t \mathbf{u} = \sum_{j+k=t+1} v_j u_k = 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, d$$
 (4.1)

implies that $v_1 = u_1 = 0$.

Hence, according to the result above, the set

$$\{ \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}) \text{ satisfies } (4.1), \boldsymbol{v} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0 \}$$

has zero Lebesgue outer measure in \mathbb{R}^d . Then Lemma 2.3 implies the $\mathcal{A} = (A_t)_{t=1}^d$ has almost everywhere phase retrieval property. \square

We next turn our attention to complex phase retrieval.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As before the random case is a corollary of the results on generic measurements, so we only need to prove the theorem for the cases of generic measurements.

First we consider the case where V_j is the set of rank r_j Hermitian matrices. Define the linear map $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{d\times d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ by

$$\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T) + \frac{i}{2}(A - A^T). \tag{4.2}$$

Then φ is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ with $\varphi^{-1}(B)=\frac{1}{2}(B+B^T)-\frac{i}{2}(B-B^T)$, and furthermore φ restricted on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ to $\mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$. For any $A,Q\in\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ define $L(A,Q):=\mathrm{tr}(\varphi(A)Q)$.

For any $s \ge 1$, let V_s denote the set of matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(\varphi(A)) \le s$. Then V_s is clearly a projective variety. It was shown in [18] that V_s is admissible with respect to

$$\{f^Q(A) := \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(A)Q) : 0 \neq Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C})\}$$

for any $1 \le s \le d$. Let $V := V_{r_1} \times \cdots \times V_{r_N}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2 there exists a proper subvariety Z of V such that for any $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in V \setminus Z$ the projective variety

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(A_j)Q) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N \right\}$$

has dimension $\dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) = 4d - 4 - N \leq 2d - 4$. Set $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) := (\varphi(A_j))_{j=1}^N$. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is real and hence $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{C})$, by Theorem 3.6, $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ has the almost everywhere PR property in \mathbb{C}^d .

Since φ is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$, we have $\dim V_s = 2ds - s^2$. Moreover, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_s \cap \mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$ is exactly the (real) dimension of the set of Hermitian matrices with rank no more than s, which is also $2ds - s^2$ (see also [14, Lemma II.1]). Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Z \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})^N) \le \dim(Z) < \dim(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})^N).$$

For any $\mathcal{A} = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in (V \setminus Z) \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})^N$ we have $\dim(X_{\mathcal{A}}) = 4d - 4 - N \leq 2d - 4$. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that a generic $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) = (\varphi(A_j))_{j=1}^N \in \mathbf{H}_d^N(\mathbb{C})$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property. This proves the almost everywhere PR property in \mathbb{C}^d .

We now prove the case for orthogonal projections with prescribed ranks. Here the proof is virtually identical to the previous case, so we shall be rather brief. Similar to before, let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ be defined by (4.2), and for any $A, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ define $L(A, Q) := \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(A)Q)$.

From now on the proof is almost verbatim from the proof of the previous case. For any $s \geq 1$, we slightly abuse the notation and let V_s denote the set of matrices A in $\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ such that $\varphi^2(A) = \varphi(A)$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\varphi(A)) \leq s$. It was shown in [18] that $\dim(V_s) = 2s(d-s)+1$ and V_s is admissible with respect to $\{f^Q(A) := \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(A)Q) : 0 \neq Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C})\}$ for any $1 \leq s \leq d$. By Theorem 3.2 there exists a subvariety Z of $V = V_{r_1} \times \cdots \times V_{r_N}$ with $\dim Z < \dim V$ such that for any $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N \in V \setminus Z$, the subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C})$

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(A_j)Q) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N \right\}$$

has dimension $\dim(X_A) = 4d - 4 - N \le 2d - 4$.

As before, note that $\varphi(V_s \cap \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is precisely the set of (complex) orthogonal projection matrices of rank s, which also has real dimension 2s(d-s)+1. Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Z \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})^{N}) \leq \dim(Z) < \dim(V) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V \cap (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})^{N}).$$

For any $\mathcal{A}=(A_j)_{j=1}^N\in (V\setminus Z)\cap (\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})^N)$ we have $\dim(X_{\mathcal{A}})=4d-4-N\leq 2d-4$, and hence $\dim(\varphi(X_{\mathcal{A}}))\leq 2d-4$. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that $\varphi(\mathcal{A})=(\varphi(A_j))_{j=1}^N$ has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property. We arrive at the conclusion. \square

Theorem 1.2 shows that $N \geq 2d$ generic Hermitian matrices or orthogonal projection matrices have almost everywhere phase retrieval property in \mathbb{C}^d . Note that Corollary 2.2 states that 2d-1 matrices are necessary to guarantee PR-ae property in \mathbb{C}^d . Then one may be interested in whether there exist 2d-1 matrices which have PR-ae property in \mathbb{C}^d ? Theorem 1.4 shows it is possible, which implies that the bound 2d-1 is sharp.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. To state conveniently, we use e_j , j = 1, ..., d, to denote the d-dimensional vector with the j-th entry being 1 and other entries being 0. We construct 2d - 1 measurement matrices A_j as follows:

$$A_1 = e_1 e_1^T, \ A_j = e_1 e_j^T + e_j e_1^T, \ A_{d-1+j} = i e_1 e_j^T - i e_j e_1^T, \ j = 2, \dots, d.$$

A simple observation is that $A_1, \ldots, A_{2d-1} \in \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbb{C})$. For any $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_d), \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\mathbf{v} \neq 0, \mathbf{u} \neq 0$, the equations

$$\Re(\boldsymbol{v}^* A_j \boldsymbol{u}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2d - 1, \tag{4.3}$$

give that

$$\Re(\bar{v}_1 u_1) = 0,$$

$$\Re(\bar{v}_1 u_k + \bar{v}_k u_1) = 0, \quad k = 2, \dots, d.$$

$$\Re(i\bar{v}_1 u_k - i\bar{v}_k u_1) = 0.$$
(4.4)

We claim that if $u_1 \neq 0$ and $v_1 \neq 0$, then the solution to (4.4) satisfies $\mathbf{u} = ic\mathbf{v}$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Based on Lemma 2.3, we just need to consider the case where either $u_1 = 0$ or $v_1 = 0$. First, for the equation $\Re(\bar{v}_1u_1) = 0$, if $u_1 = 0$, then the rest of equations gives $\bar{v}_1u_k = 0$ for all $k = 2, \ldots, d$, which implies $v_1 = 0$ since $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. Similarly, if $v_1 = 0$, we can obtain $u_1 = 0$. According to Lemma 2.3, if $v_1 = u_1 = 0$ then \mathcal{A} has the almost phase retrieval property. We arrive at the conclusion.

We still need to prove $\mathbf{u} = ic\mathbf{v}$ if $u_1 \neq 0$ and $v_1 \neq 0$. Assume that $u_1 \neq 0$ and $v_1 \neq 0$. Then the equation $\Re(\bar{v}_1u_1) = 0$ is equivalent to $u_1 = icv_1$ for some real number $c \neq 0$, which implies that $u_{1R} = -cv_{1I}$ and $u_{1I} = cv_{1R}$. Here, we denote $u_{1R} = \Re(u_1)$, $u_{1I} = \Im(u_1)$ and define v_{1R} , v_{1I} similarly.

Next, for k = 2, ..., d, we consider the following two equations

$$\Re(\bar{v}_1 u_k + \bar{v}_k u_1) = 0$$

and

$$\Re(i\bar{v}_1u_k - i\bar{v}_ku_1) = 0.$$

Putting $u_{1R} = -cv_{1I}$ and $u_{1I} = cv_{1R}$ into the above equations, we can obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{1R} & v_{1I} \\ v_{1I} & -v_{1R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{kR} \\ u_{kI} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cv_{kR}v_{1I} - cv_{kI}v_{1R} \\ -cv_{kR}v_{1R} - cv_{kI}v_{1I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $v_1 \neq 0$, the above equations have a unique solution $u_{kR} = -cv_{kI}$, $u_{kI} = cv_{kR}$. It implies that $u_k = icv_k$ for all k = 2, ..., d. Thus, it gives that u = icv if $u_1 \neq 0$ and $v_1 \neq 0$. \square

5. Additional results for almost everywhere standard phase retrieval

Finally we go back to the standard phase retrieval setting to tie up some loose ends for almost everywhere phase retrieval. Recall that in the standard setting each A_j is a rank one matrix of the form $A_j = \boldsymbol{f}_j \boldsymbol{f}_j^*$, where $\boldsymbol{f}_j \in \mathbb{F}^d$. We say a group of vectors $\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_N$ in \mathbb{F}^d have the almost everywhere phase retrieval property (PR-ae) if and only if $A = (A_j)_{j=1}^N$ has the property. Note that we often identify $\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_N$ with the $d \times N$ matrix $F = (\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{f}_N)$. Thus, we shall say F has the almost everywhere phase retrieval property if $\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_N$ have the property. In the real case, the PR-ae property has a simple characterization.

Theorem 5.1. Let f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N be vectors in \mathbb{R}^d . For any $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ let V_J denote the subspace span $\{f_j: j \in J\}$. Then f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N have the PR-ae property if and only if $span\{f_1, \ldots, f_N\} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_I^{\perp} + V_I^{\perp} \neq \mathbb{R}^d$ for any nonempty $I, J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ with $I \cup J = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

In particular, $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ have the PR-ae property if and only if they are full spark, i.e. any d vectors among them are linearly independent.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N have the PR-ae property. A simple argument shows that

$$\operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{f}_1,...,\boldsymbol{f}_N\} = \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Next, we show

$$V_I^{\perp} + V_I^{\perp} \neq \mathbb{R}^d$$
.

If we assume that $V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}^d$ for some nonempty I, J with $I \cup J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, then for any $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{u} \notin V_I^{\perp} \cup V_J^{\perp}$ we have $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}$ where $\boldsymbol{x} \in V_I^{\perp}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \in V_J^{\perp}$ with $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \neq 0$. Set $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}$. Then $\langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = \pm \langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$, depending on $i \in J$ or $i \in I$. Thus, $|\langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle| = |\langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle|$ for all i. Since I, J are nonempty sets, it implies V_I^{\perp} and V_J^{\perp} are proper subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d and hence the set

$$\left\{ oldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d: \quad oldsymbol{u}
otin V_I^{\perp} \cup V_J^{\perp}
ight\}$$

has positive Lebesgue measure. This contradicts the PR-ae property of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume that span $\{f_1,...,f_N\} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp} \neq \mathbb{R}^d$ for all nonempty I,J with $I \cup J = \{1,2,...,N\}$. We show that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ has the PR-ae property. Assume not, there exists a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with positive Lebesgue measure such that for each $u \in \Omega$ there exists a $v_u \neq \pm u$ such that $\langle f_i, u \rangle = \pm \langle f_i, v_u \rangle$ for all i. Let

$$I_{\boldsymbol{u}} := \Big\{ i: \ \langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = -\langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \rangle \Big\}, \qquad J_{\boldsymbol{u}} := \Big\{ i: \ \langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \rangle \Big\}.$$

Clearly $I_{\boldsymbol{u}} \cup J_{\boldsymbol{u}} = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Combining span $\{\boldsymbol{f}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{f}_N\} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \neq \pm \boldsymbol{u}$, we know that $I_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and $I_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ are nonempty sets. Since there are only finitely many distinct $I_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and $J_{\boldsymbol{u}}$, there exist nonempty sets I, J with $I \cup J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and an $\tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ of positive Lebesgue measure such that $I_{\boldsymbol{u}} = I$ and $J_{\boldsymbol{u}} = J$ for all $\boldsymbol{u} \in \tilde{\Omega}$. Now for each $\boldsymbol{u} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have $\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \in V_L^{\perp}$ and $\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \in V_L^{\perp}$. It follows that

$$u = \frac{1}{2}(u + v_u) + \frac{1}{2}(u - v_u) \in V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp}.$$

However, $V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^d which contains a positive measure subset $\tilde{\Omega}$. Thus, it must be the whole space, namely $V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}^d$. This is a contradiction.

Finally, we show if $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are full spark then for any nonempty sets $I, J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d+1\}$ with $I \cup J = \{1, 2, \dots, d+1\}$,

$$V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp} \neq \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We set $n_1 := \dim(V_I)$ and $n_2 := \dim(V_J)$. Since $I \cup J = \{1, 2, \dots, d+1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{f}_{d+1}$ are full spark, it implies that $n_1 + n_2 \ge d + 1$. Thus,

$$\dim(V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp}) \le (d - n_1) + (d - n_2) \le d - 1,$$

which means that $V_I^{\perp} + V_J^{\perp} \neq \mathbb{R}^d$. We arrive at the conclusion. \square

We now turn our attention to the complex case. Theorem 1.2 shows that for N generic $\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_N$ where $N \geq 2d$, $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{f}_j \boldsymbol{f}_j^*)_{j=1}^N$ has the PR-ae property. But is N = 2d minimal, namely, whether it is true that for $N \leq 2d-1$ we can never get the PR-ae property in the standard phase retrieval setting? This question was addressed in [10]. However, there was a general feeling on the mathematics perspective that the proof was not rigorous, and no one seemed to be able to verify its correctness, see Mixon [17]. Thus, this problem is still viewed, at least on the mathematics sideperspective, as an open problem. In this section we prove that a generic set $\{\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{2d-1}\}$ in \mathbb{C}^d does not have the PR-ae property.

Note that, by Lemma 2.3, $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2d-1}\}$ in \mathbb{C}^d has the PR-ae property if and only if the following holds: Let \mathcal{U} be the set of $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $u \neq icv$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\Re(\overline{\langle \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{f}_j\rangle}\langle\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{f}_j\rangle)=0\qquad\text{for all }1\leq j\leq 2d-1.$$

Then the set $E = \{ \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} : (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{v} \neq 0 \}$ has positive measure in \mathbb{C}^d .

To prove that a generic frame $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2d-1}\}$ in \mathbb{C}^d does not have the almost everywhere phase retrieval property, we first consider the special case where $f_j = \mathbf{e}_j$ for $1 \le j \le d$. Thus, the associate frame matrix has the form

$$F = [I_d, G], \qquad G \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times (d-1)}$$

$$(5.1)$$

where I_d is $d \times d$ identity matrix. We shall prove that for a generic G the frame is not PR-ae.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that $F = [I_d, G]$ is a PR-ae in \mathbb{C}^d where $G \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times (d-1)}$. Then $F_1 = [I_{d-1}, G_1]$ is not a PR-ae in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} , where G_1 is obtained by removing the first row of G (i.e., F_1 is F with the first row being removed).

Proof. For any $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$, let V_u denote the set of all $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that

$$\Re(\overline{\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{f}_j \rangle} \langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{f}_j \rangle) = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 1$$
 (5.2)

where f_j are the columns of F. We shall only consider those u with $u_1 = 0$, i.e. $u \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$. Thus, for each such u there exists a nonzero v satisfying (5.2). To see that, we only need to observe v has 2d (real) unknowns while there are only 2d-2 linear equations in (5.2) (we get j=1 for free because $u_1=0$). Hence, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_u \geq 2$. Let

$$Y = \left\{ \boldsymbol{u} : V_{\boldsymbol{u}} \subset \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \right\}.$$

We next divide the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case I. Y is not a null set in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$.

Note that if $\mathbf{v} \in V_{\mathbf{u}}$ is colinear with \mathbf{u} then we must have $\mathbf{v} = ic\mathbf{u}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V_{\mathbf{u}}) \geq 2$ there must exists at least a $\mathbf{v} \in V_{\mathbf{u}}$ that is not colinear with \mathbf{u} . Now for any $\mathbf{u} \in Y$, since both \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} have first entry 0, we have

$$\Re(\overline{\langle \boldsymbol{u}', \boldsymbol{f}'_j \rangle} \langle \boldsymbol{v}', \boldsymbol{f}'_j \rangle) = 0$$
 for all $j \ge 2$ (5.3)

where u', v' are obtained by removing the first entry of u, v and f'_j are the columns of F_1 . However, the set $\{u'\}$ satisfying (5.3) has positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^{d-1} , thus, $\{f'_j\}$ cannot have the PR-ae property. To see this more clearly, set x' = u' + v' and y' = u' - v'. Then $|\langle x', f'_j \rangle| = |\langle y', f'_j \rangle|$. Note that at least one of the sets $\{x'\}$ and $\{y'\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. We arrive at the conclusion in this case.

Case II. Y is a null set in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$.

We shall show that this case is impossible. Without loss of generality we may simply assume that $Y = \emptyset$. Thus, for any $\mathbf{u} \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ there exists some $\mathbf{v} \in V_{\mathbf{u}}$ with $v_1 \neq 0$. Clearly such a \mathbf{v} cannot be colinear with \mathbf{u} in \mathbb{C}^d . Pick one such vector and denote it by $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{u}}$. Observe that $i\mathbf{u} \in V_{\mathbf{u}}$. Hence, $V_{\mathbf{u}}$ contains $t\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{u}} + is\mathbf{u}$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We prove that $E := \{ \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} : \boldsymbol{u} \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{\boldsymbol{u}} \}$ has positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^d , which implies that F is not PR-ae in \mathbb{C}^d (see Lemma 2.3). So, we have a contradiction. Note that for any nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $V_{c\boldsymbol{u}} = cV_{\boldsymbol{u}}$. Hence, $V_{c\boldsymbol{u}}$ contains $ct\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} + ics\boldsymbol{u}$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, for each $\boldsymbol{u} \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ the set E contains

$$c\mathbf{u} + c(t\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{u}} + ics\mathbf{u}) = c(1+is)\mathbf{u} + ct\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{u}}$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $c = (1+is)^{-1}$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{u} + t(1+is)^{-1}\boldsymbol{v_u} \in E$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. But $z = t(1+is)^{-1}$ can take on any non-imaginary complex number. Hence, $\boldsymbol{u} + z\boldsymbol{v_u} \in E$ for any z with $\Re(z) \neq 0$. In other words,

$$E \supseteq \{ \boldsymbol{u} + z \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{u}} : \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(z) \neq 0 \}.$$

Since each v_u has nonzero first entry, it is clear that E must have positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^d . This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 5.3. A generic $F \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times (2d-1)}$ is not PR-ae.

Proof. It is well known ([1]) that the PR-ae property is preserved under nonsingular affine transformations. In other words, for any nonsingular $B \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$, the frame $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^N$ has the PR-ae property if and only if $\{Bf_j\}_{j=1}^N$ does. Now for any nonsingular B and a generic $G \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times (d-1)}$ the frame $F = B[I_d, G] = [B, BG]$ is not PR-ae (otherwise, Lemma 5.2 implies that a generic $F_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{(d-1) \times (2(d-1))}$ is not PR-ae which contradicts with Theorem 1.2). Thus, a generic $F \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times (2d-1)}$ is not PR-ae. \square

References

- R. Balan, P. Casazza, D. Edidin, On signal reconstruction without phase, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 20 (3) (2006) 345–356.
- [2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.F. Roy, Real Algebraic Geometry, vol. 36, Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [3] B.G. Bodmann, N. Hammen, Stable phase retrieval with low-redundancy frames, Adv. Comput. Math. 41 (2) (2015) 317–331.
- [4] E.J. Candes, Y. Eldar, T. Strohmer, V. Voroninski, Phase retrieval via matrix completion, SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 6 (1) (2013) 199-225.
- [5] E.J. Candes, T. Strohmer, V. Voroninski, Phaselift: exact and stable signal recovery from magnitude measurements via convex programming, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (8) (2013) 1241–1274.
- [6] A. Conca, D. Edidin, M. Hering, C. Vinzant, An algebraic characterization of injectivity in phase retrieval, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 38 (2) (2015) 346–356.
- [7] D. Edidin, Projections and phase retrieval, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 42 (2) (2017) 350–359.
- [8] M. Fickus, D.G. Mixon, A.A. Nelson, Y. Wang, Phase retrieval from very few measurements, Linear Algebra Appl. 449 (2014) 475–499.
- [9] M. Fickus, D.G. Mixon, Projection retrieval: theory and algorithms, in: 2015 International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications (SampTA), 2015, pp. 183–186.
- [10] S.T. Flammia, A. Silberfarb, C.M. Caves, Minimal informationally complete measurements for pure states, Found. Phys. 35 (2005) 1985–2006.
- [11] J. Finkelstein, Pure-state informationally complete and 'really' complete measurements, Phys. Rev. A 70 (5) (2004) 052107.
- [12] J. Harris, Algebraic Geometry: A First Course, vol. 133, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [13] T. Heinosaari, L. Mazzarella, M.M. Wolf, Quantum tomography under prior information, Commun. Math. Phys. 318 (2) (2013) 355–374.
- [14] M. Kech, M.M. Wolf, Constrained quantum tomography of semi-algebraic sets with applications to low-rank matrix recovery, Inf. Inference 6 (2) (2017) 171–195.

- [15] M. Kech, F. Krahmer, Optimal injectivity conditions for bilinear inverse problems with applications to identifiability of deconvolution problems, SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom. 1 (2017) 20–37.
- [16] D. Kogan, Y.C. Eldar, D. Oron, On the 2D phase retrieval problem, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 65 (4) (2016) 1058–1067.
- [17] D. Mixon, Phase transitions in phase retrieval, in: Excursions in Harmonic Analysis, vol. 4, Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 123–147.
- [18] Y. Wang, Z. Xu, Generalized phase retrieval: measurement number, matrix recovery and beyond, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 47 (2) (2019) 423–446.
- [19] Z. Xu, The minimal measurement number for low-rank matrix recovery, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 44 (2) (2018) 497–508.
- [20] Y. Rong, Y. Wang, Z. Xu, Almost everywhere injectivity conditions for the matrix recovery problem, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2019.09.002, in press.